

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,694	03/24/2004	Harri Okkonen	15620US02	4407
23446 7590 12/18/2007 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			EXAMINER	
			CHOW, CHIH CHING	
	SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/807,694	OKKONEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chih-Ching Chow	2191				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Oc</u>	ctober 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	·					
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	niority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a).	(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	shortly under 60 0.0.0. § 110(u)	(4) 51 (1).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Drainsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
Data-A						

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to argument dated October 05, 2007.
- 2. Per Applicants' request, no claim has been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-39 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicants' arguments for Claims 1-39 have been fully considered respectfully by the examiner but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicants' arguments are basically in the following points:
 - 'Lee fails to teach or suggest, at least, "... a plurality of update agents resident in the electronic device, wherein the update agent employed is selected to correspond to a type update information"...' (see REMARKS dated October 5, 2007, pp.12-14); and 'Lee makes it clear that each of the plurality of local update agents is disposed at one of the plurality of net worked devices....' (see REMARKS dated October 5, 2007, pp.15-16)

Examiner's Response: The Examiner already recited that Lee "does not mention 'update agent is selected to correspond to a type of update information' specifically," (see Office Action dated 7/10/2007, page 3) – that's why Meyerson has been brought up for Lee's deficiency.

• 'Meyerson also fails to teach or suggest, at least, "...a plurality of update agents resident in the electronic device,...", as recited in Applicants' claims 1 and 17' (see REMARKS dated October 5, 2007, page 16); and 'neither these portions of Meyerson, nor any other portions or figures of Meyerson teach or suggest the use of a plurality of update agents in an electronic

device, and that the Office has failed to show where Meyerson teaches or suggests, at least, "...a plurality of update agents resident in the electronic device,...", as recited in Applicants' claims 1 and 17, and "...code comprising a plurality of update agents ...", as recited in Applicants' claim 32.' (see REMARKS dated October 5, 2007, page 19).

Examiner's Response: The Applicant Representative agrees that 'in two implementations of Meyerson's teaching, 'Meyerson teaches a first implementation in which the intelligent update agent is designed specifically for and may be incorporated into particular software, a second more general implementation of the invention in which the update agent may be designed by a particular software publisher to handle all of that publisher's software' (see REMARKS dated October 5, 2007, page 19) – Meyerson's teaching recites (also the highlighted part on REMARKS dated October 5, 2007, page 18), 'In the simplest case, where the update agent corresponds to a single software program, the software update information may simply be a "yes" or "no" telling the agent whether a software update is available and whether a criticality check program is available. In the more general case, however, the software update information will include substantial additional information for multiple software programs." - if one update agent corresponds to one software program, then multiple software programs exist that implies multiple update agents are employed. Even Meyerson's teaching does not explicitly mention 'multiple update agents', the logic can't exclude that Meyerson's teaching implies 'a plurality of update agents resident in the electronic device' as recited in Applicants' claim 1 and 17.

Applicants respectfully submit that the portions of Meyerson shown above in bold clearly show that the update agent of Meyerson is either started manually, or periodically by a timer, to check for software updates.

Meyerson also states that "...the intelligent update agent sends a software update query comprising a request for software update information."

Therefore, Meyerson clearly teaches that the update agent is active and running before a request for a software update is sent, and before a software update is received. Because the update agent of Meyerson is started before a software update is received, the Meyerson reference does not teach an update agent "...wherein the update agent employed is selected to correspond to a type of update information received by the electronic device from the at least one of the plurality of servers..." (see REMARKS dated October 5, 2007, page 23).

Examiner's Response: The Examiner is not sure how does this argument relate to the claims, it doesn't matter whether the update agent is activated and running before a request for a software update is sent to it, the update agent is likely been activated when the system is activated, and waiting for new updates to be sent in – the current claims do not specify that the update agent has to be active and running after the request is sent in – in fact, it's common to software design that an update agent is up and running, and standby for an update request to be sent in.

• 'Therefore, Applicants believe that claims 1, 17 and 32 are allowable over the proposed combination of Lee and Meyerson, for at least the reasons set forth above' (see REMARKS dated October 5, 2007, page 24).

Examiner's Response: Lee teaches a computer-implemented method for updating a plurality of software components disposed on a plurality of networked devices, the plurality of networked devices being interconnected in a computer network; Meyerson's teaching is also concerned with the problem of updating software installed on a large number of computers having different configurations by utilizing a software update agent for a corresponding software program. For at least the reasons set forth above, Meyerson teaches Lee's deficiency, which is "a plurality of update agents resident in the electronic device" as recited in claims 1, 17, and 32.

6. For the reasons set forth above, the Examiner is maintaining the 35 USC § 103 rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 10-19, 21, 22, 25-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over US 2004/0031029 by Lee et al., hereinafter "Lee", in view of prior art of record, US Patent No. 6,976,251, by **Meyerson**, hereinafter "Meyerson".

CLAIM

1. An electronic device network, the network comprising:
a plurality of servers; and
a plurality of electronic devices

Lee / Meyerson

Lee teaches a method for updating software on a plurality of networked devices. See Lee's paragraph 0009, "updating a plurality of software

communicatively coupled to at least one of the plurality of servers, each of the electronic devices being adapted to employ at least one of a plurality of update agents resident in the electronic device, wherein the update agent employed is selected to correspond to a type of update information received by the electronic device from the at least one of the plurality of servers, wherein the selected update agent processes the received update information to modify a first version of one of software and firmware in the electronic device to a second version, and wherein the electronic device is also adapted to provision the plurality of update agents with parameters and data used to facilitate update operations in the electronic device.

components disposed on a plurality of networked devices, the plurality of networked devices being interconnected if a computer network...the method further includes obtaining, using the first local update agent and the first update parameters, a first update file for updating software in the first networked device. Additionally, the method includes updating, using the first local update agent and the first update file, the software in the first networked device." And paragraph 0032, "Administrative console 104 is coupled via the network to a plurality of networked devices such as servers 106, 108, and 110." Lee teaches all aspects of claim 1, but he does not mention 'update agent is selected to correspond to a type of update information' specifically, however, Meyerson teaches it in an analogous prior art. In Meyerson's column 4, lines 38-50, "After the software update query is sent, the software update information is downloaded in block 14. In the simplest case, where the update agent corresponds to a single software program (a plurality of update agents resident in the electronic device), the software update information may simply be a 'yes' or 'no' telling the agent whether a software update is available and whether a criticality check program is available. In the more general case, however, the software update information will include substantial

Art Unit: 2191

additional information for multiple software programs. In the preferred implementation of the invention, the software update information will include a field telling the update agent whether a criticality check program is available for each software update." And Meyerson's column 4, lines 10-16, "it proceeds to block 12 where the intelligent update agent sends a software update query comprising a request for software update information. The software update query is sent over a computer network, such as the Internet. In one implementation of the invention, the intelligent update agent is designed specifically for and may be incorporated into particular software." It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to supplement Lee's disclosure of the updating software for networked devices by using corresponding update agent taught by Meyerson, for the purpose of routing the update request to a corresponding update agent for the specific software and/or hardware configuration of the user's computer. (Meyerson's column 2, lines 30-35).

2. The network according to claim 1, wherein the electronic device comprises random access memory and non-volatile memory, wherein the non-volatile memory comprises a plurality of components, the plurality of

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. For the rest of claim 2 feature see Lee's paragraph [0001], "These networked devices include, for example, routers, hubs, servers, workstations, desktop computers, laptop computers,

Art Unit: 2191

components comprising at least one of the following: an update application loader, the plurality of update agents, firmware, an operating system (OS), and provisioned data, wherein the provisioned data comprises update agent provisioning information and a number assignment module.

- 3. The network according to claim 1, wherein the network further comprises at least one of an update server, and a plurality of generators, wherein the generators are adapted to generate updates able to be processed by at least one provisioned update agent in the electronic device, and wherein the update server is adapted to store updates accessible by the plurality of servers.
- 4. The network according to claim 1, wherein the electronic device further comprises a provisioned data unit adapted to store information related to an end-user's electronic device subscription, and wherein the provisioned data unit may be programmed during number assignment module programming activity.

printers, storage devices, printers and/or other output devices, and the like (all are electronic devices). As is well known, each of the networked devices may include many different hardware components each of which may be furnished with software (such as system software, application software, firmware, driver, or the like)"

See claim 1 and 2 rejections.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. For the rest of claim 4 feature see claim 1 and Lee's paragraph 00037, "Notification module 308 represents the module for collecting the status information and/or notification messages from the various components of the automatic software update system. The notification messages may be sent to administrator console 302 and/or may be employed to automatically trigger other steps." And description on paragraph 0039 (programmed number assignment module for programming activity).

6. The network according to claim 4, wherein the provisioned data unit is adapted to store at least one of update agent related provisioning information, a universal resource locator of a server used to retrieve updates, and a security key used to authenticate server messages.

- For the feature of claim 4 see claim 4 rejection. For the rest of claim 6 see Lee's paragraph 0055, "In one embodiment, the update files(s) are stored on a shared storage device coupled to the network and are accessed by their path name(s), which may be received as part of the update parameters. In another embodiment, the update file(s) are accessed by their URL (Uniform Resource Locator), which may be received as part of the update parameters and downloaded using the HTTP protocol"
- 7. The network according to claim 4, wherein each of the plurality of update agents has a corresponding entry in the provisioned data unit.
- For the feature of claim 4 see claim 4 rejection. For the corresponding entry in the provisioned data unit, see claim 1 rejection.
- 10. The network according to claim 1 wherein the electronic device is adapted to invoke an update agent based upon an update currently being processed provided that the update agent is provisioned in the electronic device.

See claim 1 rejection.

11. The network according to claim 1, wherein the electronic device may execute an update application loader on reboot, and wherein the update application loader is adapted to invoke a boot initialization code before determining to update the electronic device.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. For the rest of claim11 see Lee's paragraph 0023, "The local update agent then obtains the update file, performs the installation as required (which may include rebooting the networked device after installation)".

12. The network according to claim 1,

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1

Application/Control Number: 10/807,694 Art Unit: 2191

further comprising update agent provisioning information stored in the electronic device, the update agent provisioning information comprising at least one of the following: a device server URL, an index of provisioned update agents, a security key, and electronic device related information, wherein the device server URL provides references to servers hosting updates to be downloaded, and wherein the update are compatible with update agents currently available and provisioned in the electronic device.

13. The network according to claim 12, wherein the index of provisioned update agents provides an index value used to compute an address location of a provisioned update agent, and wherein the index of provisioned update agents provides an index to a table containing an address for an update agent in non-volatile memory the electronic device.

14. The network according to claim 12, wherein the security key is used to authenticate updates during download of updates and during update activity, wherein a separate security key is employed to authenticate updates by a download agent and by the update agent, and wherein the security key is employed for at least one of the following: secure communication, encryption, and decryption of data and messages during communication with

rejection. For the rest of claim12, see
Lee paragraph 0055, "In one
embodiment, the update files(s) are
stored on a shared storage device
coupled to the network and are accessed
by their path name(s), which may be
received as part of the update
parameters. In another embodiment, the
update file(s) are accessed by their
URL (Uniform Resource Locator),
which may be received as part of the
update parameters and downloaded
using the HTTP protocol."

For the feature of claim 12 see claim 12 rejection. For the rest of claim 13, see claim 7 rejection.

For the feature of claim 12 see claim 12 rejection. For the rest feature of claim 14 see claim 6 rejection.

external systems.

15. The network according to claim 1, wherein the electronic device further comprises an update agent table resident in non-volatile memory, the update agent table containing references to a plurality of update agents currently available and provisioned in the electronic device, the update agent table associating update agent names, update agent address locations, types of updates that the update agents are adapted to process, and provisioning status of the update agents for all available update agents in the electronic device.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. For the rest feature of claim 15 see claim 7 rejection.

16. The network according to claim 1, wherein the electronic device comprises at least one of a plurality of mobile electronic devices, and wherein the plurality of mobile electronic devices comprise at least one of the following: a mobile cellular phone handset, a personal digital assistant, a pager, an MP3 player, and a digital camera.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. For the rest feature of claim 16 see claim 5 rejection.

17. A method employing a plurality of update agents in an electronic device in an electronic device network, the method comprising:

communicatively coupling a plurality of electronic devices to at least one of a plurality of servers;

selecting at least one of a plurality of update agents resident in the electronic device to modify a first version of one Claim 17 is a method version of claim 1, therefore see claim 1 rejection.

Art Unit: 2191

of software and firmware in the electronic device to produce an updated version, wherein each of the plurality of update agents is arranged to process a corresponding type of update information received from the at least one of a plurality of servers; and

provisioning the plurality of update agents with parameters and data used to facilitate update operations in the electronic device.

- 18. The method according to claim 17, further comprising generating updates able to be processed by at least one provisioned update agent in the electronic device and storing updates in an update server.
- 19. The method according to claim 17, further comprising:

storing information related to an enduser's electronic device subscription; and

programming a provisioned data unit during number assignment module programming activity.

21. The method according to claim 19, wherein the programming further comprises storing update agent related provisioning information, a universal resource locator of a server used to retrieve updates, and a security key used to authenticate server messages.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 18 feature see claim 1 and claim 3 rejections.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 19 feature see claim 4 rejection.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 21 feature see claim 6 rejection.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19

22. The method according to claim 19, further comprising providing each update agent an entry in a provisioned data unit.

rejection. For the rest of claim 22 feature see claim 7 rejection.

25. The method according to claim 17, further comprising invoking an update agent based upon an update currently being processed provided that the update agent is provisioned in the electronic device.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 25 feature see claim 10 rejection.

26. The method according to claim 17, further comprising executing an update application loader on reboot of the electronic device and invoking a boot initialization code before determining to update the electronic device.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 26 feature see claim 11 rejection.

27. The method according to claim 17, further comprising:

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 27 feature see claim 1 rejection.

storing update agent provisioning information in the electronic device; and hosting updates to be downloaded with update agents provisioned in the electronic device.

28. The method according to claim 17, further comprising determining an address location of a provisioned update agent, wherein determining comprises one of computing and accessing an entry in a table.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest feature of claim 28, generating updates provided from plurality of agents (addresses) in particular, see Meyerson's column 4, lines 14-18, "the intelligent update agent is designed specifically for and may be incorporated into particular software. The agent will then include the address of a particular location maintained by

29. The method according to claim 17, further comprising:

authenticating updates during download of the updates and during update activity, using a security key;

employing a separate security key to authenticate updates by a download agent and by the at least one of a plurality of update agents; and

employing the security key for at least one of the following: secure communication, encryption, and decryption of data and messages, during communication with external systems.

- 30. The method according to claim 17, further comprising mapping at least one of update agent names, update agent address locations, types of updates that the update agents are adapted to process, and provisioning status of the update agents for all available update agents in the electronic device.
- 31. The method according to claim 17, wherein the electronic device comprises at least one of the following: a plurality of mobile electronic devices, and wherein the plurality of mobile electronic devices comprise at least one of a mobile cellular phone handset, a personal digital assistant, a pager, an MP3 player, and a digital camera.

the software publisher that corresponds to the software."

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 29 feature see claim 6 rejection.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 30 feature see claim 15 rejection.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 31 feature see claim 16 rejection.

32. An electronic device operable in an electronic device network, the electronic device comprising:

non-volatile memory comprising a first version of code;

communication circuitry for receiving, from at least one server in the electronic device network, update information having an associated type;

code resident in and executable by the electronic device, the code comprising a plurality of update agents selectable to cause processing of a corresponding type of received update information, to update a related code portion of the first version of code to an updated version;

wherein the processing modifies the related code portion of the first version of code to produce the updated version; and

wherein an update agent is selected to perform an update based upon the type of the received update information.

- 33. The electronic device according to claim 32 wherein the communication circuitry comprises a cellular network interface.
- 34. The electronic device according to claim 32 wherein the update information comprises an update package.
- 35. The electronic device according to claim 32 wherein a portion of the non-

Both Lee and Meyerson's disclosures teach the features of claim 32, see claims 1, 2, and 3 rejections.

For the feature of claim 32 see claim 32 rejection. For the rest of claim 33 feature see claim 5 rejection.

For the feature of claim 32 see claim 32 rejection. For the rest of claim 34 feature see claim 7 rejection, where update agent is the same as update package.

For the feature of claim 32 see claim 32 rejection. For the rest of claim 35

volatile memory comprises provisioned data received from at least one of the plurality of servers.

feature see claims 1, 2 and 7 rejections.

36. The electronic device according to claim 35 wherein the provisioned data comprises at least one entry corresponding to one of the plurality of update agents.

For the feature of claim 32 see claim 32 rejection. For the rest of claim 36 feature see claim 7 rejection, where 'type of update agent' is the provisioned data.

37. The electronic device according to claim 35 wherein programming of provisioned data is performed during programming of information related to a wireless service subscription.

For the feature of claim 32 see claim 32 rejection. For the rest of claim 37 feature see claim 5 rejection.

38. The electronic device according to claim 35 wherein provisioned data comprises a universal resource locator of a server on which a corresponding type of update information is stored.

For the feature of claim 35 see claim 35 rejection. For the rest of claim 37 feature see claim 12 rejection.

39. The electronic device according to claim 35 wherein provisioned data comprises security information enabling update of the related code portion.

For the feature of claim 35 see claim 35 rejection. For the rest of claim 37 feature see claims 6 and 28 rejections.

9. Claims 8 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2004/0031029 by Lee et al., hereinafter "Lee"; in view of prior art of record, US 6,976,251, by **Meyerson**, hereinafter "Meyerson"; further in view of US Patent No. 5,708,776 by Dan Kikinis (hereinafter "Kikinis").

CLAIM

8. The network according to claim 1, wherein one of the plurality of update agents is designated a primary update

Lee/ Meyerson / Kikinis

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. Lee and Meyerson teach all aspects of claim 8, but he does not

agent and another of the plurality of update agents is designated as a secondary update agent, and wherein the primary update agent is used to perform updates during one of power up and reboot of the electronic device and the secondary update agent is used to perform updates not requiring electronic device rebooting.

mention 'Primary update agent and secondary update agent' specifically, however, Kikinis teaches it in an analogous prior art. All of their disclosures are for updating agents cross network and reboot of an electronic device. See Kikinis' title, "Automatic recovery for network appliances" in particular, see Kikinis column 1, lines 53-59, "a primary boot partition on the mass storage device, comprising primary operating software and primary application software for execution by the CPU in **booting** the network appliance and placing it in operation performing its application; a secondary boot partition on the mass storage device, comprising secondary operating software and secondary application software; and an automatic recovery routine on the non-volatile storage device."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to supplement Lee and Meryerson's disclosures of the updating software for networked devices by using Primary Update/Secondary Update (while there are plurality of update agents) taught by Kikinis, for the purpose of initiating necessary reboot (Kikinis Abstract, line 3).

23. The method according to claim 17, further comprising:

designating a primary update agent and a secondary update agent;

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 19 feature see claim 8 rejection.

using the primary update agent to perform updates during one of the following: power up and reboot of the electronic device; and using the secondary update agent to perform updates not requiring electronic device rebooting.

10. Claims 5, 9, 20, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over US 2004/0031029 by Lee et al., hereinafter "Lee", in view of prior art of record, US Patent No. 6,976,251, by **Meyerson**, hereinafter "Meyerson"; further in view of US 2003/0065738 by Yang et al., hereinafter "Yang".

CLAIM

5. The network according to claim 4, wherein the number assignment module programming activity comprises at least one of over-the-air service provisioning (OTASP) activity and over-the-air parameter administration (OTAPA) activity.

Lee/ Meyerson / Yang

Lee teaches all aspects of claim 5, but he does not mention 'over-the-air service' specifically, however, Yang teaching is for updating mobile node devices, see Yang's Abstract "An apparatus, system and method are provided for OTA downloading, configuring and updating application programs stored in a memory of mobile communication device."; and paragraph [0027], "Another aspect of the present invention discloses systems and methods for distributing application-based programs to mobile devices over-the-air (OTA) using Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP) and File Transfer Protocol (FTP)." Also for over-the-air service provisioning activity are disclosed in. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to supplement

9. The network according to claim 1, wherein the electronic device is adapted to display a list of available update agents to an end-user and solicit selection of an update agent to be used to update at least one of software and firmware.

Lee and Meyerson's disclosures of the updating software for networked devices by using OTA taught by Yang, for the purpose of downloading to mobile device using wireless media (see Yang's paragraph [0051]).

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. Lee and Meyerson teach all aspects of claim 9, but he does not mention 'display a list of available update agents to an end user' specifically, however, Yang teaches it in an analogous prior art. See Yang's paragraph [0041], "Examples of application programs may be programs that manage and display to a mobile user, current weather information, traffic information, stock information, local theater information, restaurant and other entertainment information, or any other information a mobile user may desire (display a list of available update agents, different agents update different type of information)".

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to supplement Lee and Meyerson's disclosures of the updating software for networked devices by using display a list of available update agents to an end-user (while there are plurality of update agents) taught by Yang, for the purpose of providing user interactive capability. (Yang's paragraph [0066]).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,694

Art Unit: 2191

Page 20

20. The method according to claim 19, wherein the number assignment module programming activity comprises at least one of the following: over-the-air service provisioning (OTASP) activity and over-the-air parameter administration (OTAPA) activity.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 20 feature see claim 5 rejection.

24. The method according to claim 17, further comprising: displaying a list of available update agents to an end-user; and soliciting selection of an update agent to be used to update at least one of software and firmware.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the rest of claim 24 feature see claim 9 rejection.

Conclusion

11. The following summarizes the status of the claims:

35 USC § 103 rejection: Claims 1-39

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Ching Chow whose telephone number is 571-272-3693. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **TC2100 Group receptionist:** 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Ching Chow Examiner Art Unit 2191 December 3, 2007

CC

MARY STEELMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER